Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/731,745	YANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	G. Nagesh Rao	1722
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>G. Nagesh Rao</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Kramer.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Schibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: USC 103 Rejections		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Hoffman 512 and Empedocles 823		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Discussed a proposed examiner's amendment for claims 5, 1		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
<u> </u>		
(Everyings/CDE Signature)	ant/Angliagnt's Description	tivo Cianatura if annonciata)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	anvApplicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)